IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

WEDNESDAY, THE 13TH JUNE 2007 / 23RD JYAISHTA 1929

WP(C).No. 5471 of 2007(B)

PETITIONER:

MUSTAFA, S/O.MUHAMMED,
NELLOOKKIL HOUSE, ULLADAMKUNNU,
MANJERI, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.BABU S. NAIR

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE ADDITIOANL DISTRICT MAGISTRATE,
MALAPPURAM.

2. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELECTRICAL SUB DIVISION, K.S.E.B.,
MANJERI.

3. K. SUBAIDA, W/O.KUNHAVARAN,
SHAHEENA MANZIL, VATTAPOYIL,
MAN JERI, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

R2 BY ADV. SRI.JOSE J.MATHEIKEL, SC, KSEB
R3 BY ADV. SRI.BABU PAUL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 13/06/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

P1: TRUE COPY OF ROUGH SKETCH OF THE LIE OF PROPERTIES THROUGH WHICH THE ELECTRIC LINE PROPOSED TO BE DRAWN.

P2: TRUE COPY OF ORDER PASSED BY R1 DT.15.11.2006.

P3: TRUE COPY OF PETITION SUBMITTED BY PETITIONER BEFORE R1 DT.5.2.2007.

P4: TRUE COPY OF RECEIPT SHOWING THE RECEIPT OF EXT.P3 PETITION.

TRUE COPY

P.A. TO JUDGE

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

.....

W.P.(C) No.5471 of 2007

.....

Dated this the 13th day of June, 2007.

JUDGMENT

Heard counsel for the petitioner and counsel appearing for third respondent. It is stated in court that the petitioner and third respondent have agreed for the alternate route suggested by the petitioner. Additional cost of which is also agreed to be paid by the petitioner. In the circumstances, W.P. is allowed directing the second respondent to draw the line in the alternate route agreed upon between the petitioner and third respondent, if the same is technically feasible. The additional cost will be met by the petitioner in terms of the agreement between the petitioner and third respondent.

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR
Judge

pms